REPORT OF THE AUDIT OF THE GARRARD COUNTY SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2002 TAXES

July 7, 2003



EDWARD B. HATCHETT, JR. AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS www.kyauditor.net

144 CAPITOL ANNEX FRANKFORT, KY 40601 TELEPHONE (502) 564-5841 FACSIMILE (502) 564-2912



EDWARD B. HATCHETT, JR. AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Paul E. Patton, Governor
Gordon C. Duke, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Dana Mayton, Secretary, Revenue Cabinet
Honorable E. J. Hasty, Garrard County Judge/Executive
Honorable Ronald Wardrip, Garrard County Sheriff
Members of the Garrard County Fiscal Court

The enclosed report prepared by Ross & Company, PLLC, Certified Public Accountants, presents the Garrard County Sheriff's Settlement - 2002 Taxes.

We engaged Ross & Company, PLLC to perform the financial audit of this statement. We worked closely with the firm during our report review process; Ross & Company, PLLC evaluated the Garrard County Sheriff's internal controls and compliance with applicable laws and regulations.

Respectfully submitted,

Edward B. Hatchett, Jr. Auditor of Public Accounts

Enclosure



REPORT OF THE AUDIT OF THE GARRARD COUNTY SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2002 TAXES

July 7, 2003

ROSS & COMPANY, PLLC Certified Public Accountants

800 Envoy Circle Louisville, KY 40299 Telephone (502) 499-9088 Facsimile (502) 499-9132

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

AUDIT EXAMINATION OF THE GARRARD COUNTY SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2002 TAXES

July 7, 2003

Ross & Company, PLLC has completed the audit of the Sheriff's Settlement - 2002 Taxes for Garrard County Sheriff as of July 7, 2003. We have issued an unqualified opinion on the financial statement taken as a whole. Based upon the audit work performed, the financial statement is presented fairly in all material respects.

Financial Condition:

The Sheriff collected taxes of \$4,355,974 for the districts for 2002 taxes, retaining commissions of \$165,154 to operate the Sheriff's office. The Sheriff distributed taxes of \$4,189,107 to the districts for 2002 Taxes. Taxes of \$10 are due to the districts from the Sheriff and refunds of \$27 are due to the Sheriff from the taxing districts.

Report Comment:

• Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties

Deposits:

The Sheriff's deposits were insured and collateralized by bank securities or bonds.

| CONTENTS | PAGE |
|----------|------|
|----------|------|

| INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT | 1 |
|--|----|
| SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2002 TAXES | 3 |
| NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS | 5 |
| COMMENT AND RECOMMENDATION | 9 |
| REPORT ON COMPLIANCE AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL | |
| OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL | |
| STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS | 13 |

ROSS & COMPANY, PLLC Certified Public Accountants

800 Envoy Circle Louisville, KY 40299 Telephone (502) 499-9088 Facsimile (502) 499-9132

To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Paul E. Patton, Governor
Gordon C. Duke, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Dana Mayton, Secretary, Revenue Cabinet
Honorable E. J. Hasty, Garrard County Judge/Executive
Honorable Ronald Wardrip, Garrard County Sheriff
Members of the Garrard County Fiscal Court

Independent Auditor's Report

We have audited the Garrard County Sheriff's Settlement - 2002 Taxes as of July 7, 2003. This tax settlement is the responsibility of the Garrard County Sheriff. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on this financial statement based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the Audit Guide for Sheriff's Tax Settlements issued by the Auditor of Public Accounts, Commonwealth of Kentucky. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statement is free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statement. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As described in Note 1, the Sheriff's office prepares the financial statement on a prescribed basis of accounting that demonstrates compliance with the modified cash basis and laws of Kentucky, which is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In our opinion, the accompanying financial statement referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, the Garrard County Sheriff's taxes charged, credited, and paid as of July 7, 2003, in conformity with the modified cash basis of accounting.

In accordance with <u>Government Auditing Standards</u>, we have also issued our report dated October 13,2003, on our consideration of the Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with <u>Government Auditing Standards</u> and should be read in conjunction with this report in considering the results of our audit.

To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Paul E. Patton, Governor
Gordon C. Duke, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Dana Mayton, Secretary, Revenue Cabinet
Honorable E. J. Hasty, Garrard County Judge/Executive
Honorable Ronald Wardrip, Garrard County Sheriff
Members of the Garrard County Fiscal Court

Based on the results of our audit, we present the accompanying comment and recommendation, included herein, which discusses the following report comment:

• Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties

Respectfully submitted,

Ross & Company, PLLC

Audit fieldwork completed -October 13, 2003

GARRARD COUNTY RONALD WARDRIP, COUNTY SHERIFF SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2002 TAXES

July 7, 2003

| a | . 1 |
|-----|-------|
| Sne | cia i |
| | |

| | | | Special | | | | | |
|--|-----|------------|---------|---------------|----|------------|-----|-------------------------------------|
| <u>Charges</u> | Cot | ınty Taxes | Tax | ing Districts | Sc | hool Taxes | Sta | ate Taxes |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Real Estate | \$ | 367,070 | \$ | 690,452 | \$ | 2,418,076 | \$ | 619,431 |
| Tangible Personal Property | | 10,817 | | 14,338 | | 59,357 | | 36,743 |
| Intangible Personal Property | | | | | | | | 19,912 |
| Increases Through Exonerations | | 65 | | 100 | | 412 | | 147 |
| Franchise Corporation | | 36,593 | | 71,083 | | 214,187 | | |
| Limestone, Sand, and Mineral Reserves | | 117 | | 258 | | 774 | | 198 |
| Penalties | | 2,341 | | 4,325 | | 15,363 | | 4,058 |
| Adjusted to Sheriff's Receipt | | 113 | | 215 | | 865 | | 4 |
| 1 | | | | | | | | |
| Gross Chargeable to Sheriff | \$ | 417,116 | \$ | 780,771 | \$ | 2,709,034 | \$ | 680,493 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Credits | | | | | | | | |
| Exonerations | \$ | 1,107 | \$ | 2,138 | \$ | 7,069 | \$ | 2,439 |
| Discounts | Ψ | 4,865 | Ψ | 9,016 | Ψ | 31,883 | Ψ | 2, 4 3 <i>)</i> 8,779 |
| Delinquents: | | 4,003 | | 9,010 | | 31,003 | | 0,119 |
| Real Estate | | 12,949 | | 24,983 | | 95.200 | | 21,851 |
| | | , | | · · · · · · | | 85,299 | | |
| Tangible Personal Property | | 56 | | 111 | | 309 | | 252 |
| Uncollected Franchise | | 2,149 | | 3,215 | | 12,970 | | |
| Total Credits | \$ | 21,126 | \$ | 39,463 | \$ | 137,530 | \$ | 33,321 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Taxes Collected | \$ | 395,990 | \$ | 741,308 | \$ | 2,571,504 | \$ | 647,172 |
| Less: Commissions * | | 17,117 | | 22,528 | | 97,717 | | 27,792 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Taxes Due | \$ | 378,873 | \$ | 718,780 | \$ | 2,473,787 | \$ | 619,380 |
| Taxes Paid | | 378,721 | | 718,481 | | 2,472,783 | | 619,122 |
| Refunds (Current and Prior Year) | | 153 | | 315 | | 1,004 | | 258 |
| Due Dietriete en (Defruide Due Cl "CO | | | | ** | | | | |
| Due Districts or (Refunds Due Sheriff) | ¢. | (1) | ø | | φ | 0 | ď | 0 |
| as of Completion of Fieldwork | \$ | (1) | \$ | (16) | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 |

^{*} and ** See Page 4.

GARRARD COUNTY RONALD WARDRIP, COUNTY SHERIFF SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2002 TAXES July 7, 2003 (Continued)

* Commissions:

| 10% on | \$ 10,000 |
|----------|-----------------|
| 4.25% on | \$ 1,498,243 |
| 3.8% on | \$ 2,571,504 |
| 1% on | \$ 276,227 |

** Special Taxing Districts:

| Library District | \$ 10 |
|--|------------|
| Fire District # 1 | (2) |
| Fire District # 2 | (19) |
| Fire District # 3 | (1) |
| Fire District # 4 | (3) |
| Fire District # 5 | (1) |
| | |
| Due Districts or (Refunds Due Sheriff) | \$ (16) |

GARRARD COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

July 7, 2003

Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

A. Fund Accounting

The Sheriff's office tax collection duties are limited to acting as an agent for assessed property owners and taxing districts. A fund is used to account for the collection and distribution of taxes. A fund is a separate accounting entity with a self-balancing set of accounts. Fund accounting is designed to demonstrate legal compliance and to aid financial management by segregating transactions related to certain government functions or activities.

B. Basis of Accounting

The financial statement has been prepared on a modified cash basis of accounting. Basis of accounting refers to when charges, credits, and taxes paid are reported in the settlement statement. It relates to the timing of measurements regardless of the measurement focus.

Charges are sources of revenue which are recognized in the tax period in which they become available and measurable. Credits are reductions of revenue which are recognized when there is proper authorization. Taxes paid are uses of revenue which are recognized when distributions are made to the taxing districts and others.

C. Cash and Investments

At the direction of the fiscal court, KRS 66.480 authorizes the Sheriff's office to invest in the following, including but not limited to, obligations of the United States and of its agencies and instrumentalities, obligations and contracts for future delivery or purchase of obligations backed by the full faith and credit of the United States, obligations of any corporation of the United States government, bonds or certificates of indebtedness of this state, and certificates of deposit issued by or other interest-bearing accounts of any bank or savings and loan institution which are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or which are collateralized, to the extent uninsured, by any obligation permitted by KRS 41.240(4).

Note 2. Deposits

The Sheriff maintained deposits of public funds with depository institutions insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC). According to KRS 66.480(1)(d) and KRS 41.240(4), the depository institution should pledge or provide sufficient collateral which, together with FDIC insurance, equals or exceeds the amount of public funds on deposit at all times. In order to be valid against the FDIC in the event of failure or insolvency of the depository institution, this pledge or provision of collateral should be evidenced by an agreement between the Sheriff and the depository institution, signed by both parties, that is (a) in writing, (b) approved by the board of directors of the depository institution or its loan committee, which approval must be reflected in the minutes of the board or committee, and (c) an official record of the depository institution. These requirements were met, and as of July 7, 2003, the Sheriff's deposits were fully insured or collateralized at a 100% level with collateral of either pledged securities held by the Sheriff's agent in the Sheriff's name, or provided surety bond which named the Sheriff as beneficiary/obligee on the bond.

GARRARD COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT July 7, 2003 (Continued)

Note 3. Tax Collection Period

Property Taxes

The real and personal property tax assessments were levied as of January 1, 2002. Property taxes were billed to finance governmental services for the year ended June 30, 2003. Liens are effective when the tax bills become delinquent. The collection period for these assessments was September 19, 2002 through March 29, 2003.

Note 4. Interest Income

The Garrard County Sheriff earned \$2,060 as interest income on 2002 taxes. As of October 13,2003, the Sheriff is due \$2 in interest from the school district and owes \$2 in interest to his fee account.

Note 5. Sheriff's 10% Add-On Fee

The Garrard County Sheriff collected \$14,239 of 10% add-on fees allowed by KRS 134.430(3). This amount was used to operate the Sheriff's office.

Note 6. Advertising Costs And Fees

The Garrard County Sheriff collected \$282 of advertising costs and \$470 of advertising fees allowed by KRS 424.330(1) and KRS 134.440(2). The Sheriff distributed the advertising costs to the county as required by statute, and the advertising fees were used to operate the Sheriff's office.

Note 7. Unrefundable Duplicate Payments And Unexplained Receipts Should Be Escrowed

The Sheriff should deposit any unrefundable duplicate payments and unexplained receipts in an interest-bearing account. According to KRS 393.110, the Sheriff should properly report annually to the Treasury Department any unclaimed moneys. After seven years, if the funds have not been claimed, the funds should be submitted to the Kentucky State Treasurer. For the 2002 taxes, the Sheriff had \$303 in unrefundable duplicate payments and unexplained receipts. Therefore, the Sheriff should send a written report to the Treasury Department.



GARRARD COUNTY RONALD WARDRIP, COUNTY SHERIFF COMMENT AND RECOMMENDATION

As of July 7, 2003

None.

INTERNAL CONTROL - MATERIAL WEAKNESSES:

Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties

We recognize the extent of segregation of duties is a judgment established by management. We also recognize this judgment is affected by certain circumstances beyond the elected official's control, such as functions prescribed by statutes and regulations and by budgetary constraints. Due to limited staff, a proper segregation of duties may be impossible. However, the lack of adequate segregation of duties is hereby noted as a reportable condition pursuant to professional auditing standards. We believe this reportable condition as described above is a material weakness.

Sheriff's Response:

No Response.

PRIOR YEAR:

The Sheriff Should Make Daily Deposits

This comment has been corrected.

Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties

This comment has been repeated in the current year audit.



REPORT ON COMPLIANCE AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

ROSS & COMPANY, PLLC Certified Public Accountants

800 Envoy Circle Louisville, KY 40299 Telephone (502) 499-9088 Facsimile (502) 499-9132

To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Paul E. Patton, Governor
Gordon C. Duke, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Dana Mayton, Secretary, Revenue Cabinet
Honorable E. J. Hasty, Garrard County Judge/Executive
Honorable Ronald Wardrip, Garrard County Sheriff
Members of the Garrard County Fiscal Court

Report On Compliance And On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting Based On An Audit Of The Financial Statement Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards

We have audited the Garrard County Sheriff's Settlement - 2002 Taxes as of July 7, 2003, and have issued our report thereon dated October 13,2003. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in <u>Government Auditing Standards</u> issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Compliance

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Garrard County Sheriff's Settlement -2002 Taxes as of July 7, 2003 is free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under <u>Government Auditing Standards</u>.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Garrard County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statement and not to provide assurance on the internal control over financial reporting. However, we noted a certain matter involving the internal control over financial reporting and its operation that we consider to be a reportable condition.

Report On Compliance And On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting Based On An Audit Of The Financial Statement Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards (Continued)

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting (Continued)

Reportable conditions involve matters coming to our attention relating to significant deficiencies in the design or operation of the internal control over financial reporting that, in our judgment, could adversely affect the entity's ability to record, process, summarize, and report financial data consistent with the assertions of management in the financial statement. A reportable condition is described in the accompanying comment and recommendation.

• Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties

A material weakness is a condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that misstatements in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statement being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be reportable conditions and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all reportable conditions that are also considered to be material weaknesses. However, we consider the reportable condition described above to be a material weakness.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than the specified party.

Respectfully submitted,

Ross & Company, PLLC

Audit fieldwork completed - October 13, 2003